

ANNUAL REPORT



The Provincial Court of Manitoba

2006-2007



***The Provincial Court
of Manitoba***

Our mission:

It is essential that a court, in keeping with the principles of justice, provide accessible, independent, impartial justice to the public without favour to anyone.

The Provincial Court of Manitoba is dedicated to the provision of service to the public through the effective management of available resources and to the provision of justice to our citizens with integrity, ethical conduct, and within the Rule of Law.

Contents

- Composition of the Court* 5
- Contingent Liability* 7
- The Work of the Court* 7
 - *Circuit Court* 8
 - *Proceedings Before the Court* 9
 - *Time to Disposition of Charges:*
 - *Winnipeg Centre and Circuit Courts*..... 10
 - *Regional Court Centres and Circuit Courts* 11
 - *Inquests* 13
 - *Law Enforcement Review Act* 14
- Court Projects and Initiatives:*
 - *The Provincial Court Front End Project (formerly the Domestic Violence Front End Project)* 14
 - *Drug Treatment Court – Winnipeg* 16
 - *FASD Youth Justice Project – Winnipeg* 17
 - *Mental Health Court Pilot – Winnipeg* 17
- Overview and Future Directions* 18
 - *Complement*..... 19
 - *The North*..... 19
 - *Relief Judges* 20
 - *Judicial Justices of the Peace*..... 20
 - *Our People*..... 21
 - *Enhancing Public Confidence in the Judicial System*..... 21
- Appendices:*
 - A: *Judges of The Provincial Court* 22
 - B: *Provincial Court – Court Locations*..... 25
- Court Utilization:*
 - C: *Non-Domestic Violence Trials, Winnipeg* 32
 - D: *Federal Trials, Winnipeg* 34
 - E: *Youth Trials, Winnipeg* 35
 - F: *Domestic Violence Trials, Winnipeg* 36

<i>G: Adult Bail Courts (Includes Domestic Violence, Adult and Federal Charges).....</i>	37
<i>H: Adult Custody Disposition Courts (Includes Domestic Violence, Adult and Federal Charges).....</i>	39
<i>I: Adult Out of Custody Plea and Disposition Courts - Domestic Violence Only.....</i>	40
<i>J: Domestic Violence and Adult Custody Administrative Court.....</i>	42
<i>K: Brandon & Circuits.....</i>	43
<i>L: Dauphin & Circuits.....</i>	44
<i>M: Portage La Prairie and Circuits.....</i>	45
<i>N: The Pas and Circuits</i>	46
<i>O: Thompson and Circuits</i>	47
<i>P: Winnipeg and Circuits</i>	49

Notice of Correction – Annual Report 2004/2005 and 2005/2006

Please note a correction to the Annual Report 2004/2005 and 2005/2006 at page 11 of that report respecting the Inquest Report pertaining to Leon Herman Bighetty, released August 5, 2005. The time to complete the report should have been reported as 6 months and not 30 months.

Composition of the Court

It was another big year of transition on the Provincial Court involving no fewer than twelve changes in the complement of the bench.

The 2006/07 year began with the continued vacancy created by the retirement of Judge Wesley Swail in February 2006. This vacancy would not be filled until October when The Honourable Judge Brian Midwinter was appointed a judge of the court in The Pas Centre and Judge Brent Stewart transferred to Winnipeg from The Pas.

The Honourable Judge Robert Kopstein retired in April 2006 after serving as a judge of the court from its inception in 1973 – a total of 33 years of service as a judge of the Provincial Court! The court would have to wait until November before the vacancy, created by the retirement of Judge Kopstein, would be filled by the appointment of The Honourable Judge Janice leMaistre.

In June 2006, The Honourable Judge Judith Webster decided to retire from the bench after serving seventeen years on the Provincial Court, nearly half of that time as a Chief Judge of the court. In 1993, Judge Webster was one of the first female provincial court judges in Canada to be appointed a Chief Judge. The vacancy created by Judge Webster would be filled by another female member of the legal profession, The Honourable Judge Catherine Carlson.

In August 2006, The Honourable Judge Murray Thompson of the Thompson Centre of the court was appointed an Associate Chief Judge of the court. Judge Thompson replaced former Associate Chief Judge Brian Giesbrecht, who served as Associate Chief Judge from 1991 to 2005. I have very much appreciated Associate Chief Judge Thompson's advice, insight and assistance respecting the administration of the Provincial Court.

In October 2006, Judge Murray Howell retired after serving twenty-one years on the Provincial Court, a good portion of those years in the Thompson Centre of the court. The vacancy created by the retirement of Judge Howell would be filled by the appointment of The Honourable Judge Robert (Rocky) Pollack in December 2006.

In November 2006, the Provincial Court experienced the loss of two of its judges through judicial appointments made by the federal Minister of Justice – Judge Richard Chartier was elevated to the Manitoba Court of Appeal and Judge Catherine Everett was elevated to the Manitoba Court of Queen's Bench (Family Division). Only the vacancy created by Judge Everett's appointment to the Court of Queen's Bench would be filled by the end of fiscal year 2006/07 with the appointment of The Honourable Judge Rodney Garson in December 2006.

The final change in complement for the bench in 2006/07 would come in March 2007, when the federal Minister of Justice appointed Judge Joyal to the Manitoba Court of Appeal. The expectation was that more changes in the composition of the bench would be coming as a result of anticipated further judicial retirements in the coming fiscal year.

I wish to sincerely thank all of those judges who have now left the Provincial Court for their energy, commitment and dedication to the administration of justice in our province.

Contingent Liability

As of March 31, 2007, the contingent liability of the Government of Manitoba for unused retirement allowances and vacation leave of judges of the Provincial Court was \$1,357,651.20 (\$848,862.33 for retirement allowances and \$508,788.93 for vacation leave).

The Work of the Court

The Provincial Court of Manitoba is established by *The Provincial Court Act*. It is a court of record and has primarily a criminal jurisdiction, as well as limited concurrent jurisdiction with the Court of Queen's Bench in family law matters that originate outside the City of Winnipeg. More than ninety-five percent of all criminal cases in Manitoba are completed in the Provincial Court.

After an individual is charged, the Provincial Court hears applications for judicial interim release (bail), presides over intake (first appearance) courts, screening, disposition courts, preliminary inquiries (to determine whether there is sufficient evidence to order an accused to stand trial in the Court of Queen's Bench), and various types of trial courts. The Provincial Court also hears the majority of criminal cases involving young persons under the federal *Youth Criminal Justice Act*.

The Provincial Court hears cases under a variety of federal statutes, such as the *Controlled Drugs and Substances Act*, and hears all provincial statute cases, such as those under *The Highway Traffic Act* and *The Liquor Control Act*. The court also presides over inquests under *The Fatality Inquiries Act*, and reviews alleged police misconduct under *The Law Enforcement Review Act*.

Each week in Winnipeg, there is one judge assigned to be a duty judge and another assigned to be back-up duty judge. These judges conduct pre-trial conferences and deal with matters that do not require an appearance in court but requires a judge's decision, such as search warrant applications. Court in Winnipeg usually sits from 10:00 a.m. to noon and 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. In addition to the regularly scheduled trial and docket (first appearance) courts, judges conduct matters such as sentencing hearings, the giving of decisions and hearing breach of conditional sentence orders at 9:00 a.m. and 1:00 p.m.

Fiscal Year	9:00 a.m. Hearings (Winnipeg Centre)	1:00 p.m. Hearings (Winnipeg Centre)
2006/2007	310	329
2005/2006	293	232

There are also a number of Pre-Trial Conferences and Resolution Hearings that are heard by the court. In the Winnipeg Centre of the court for 2006/2007 there were 478 Pre-Trial Conferences held and 324 Resolution Conferences. In 2005/2006 those numbers were similar with 421 Pre-Trial Conferences and 373 Resolution Conferences. Resolution Conferences are similar to Pre-Trial Conferences in that their aim is to address areas of potential agreement between counsel; ensure counsel are hearing-ready in the area of disclosure; to identify the issues of law and evidence relevant to the hearing; and to explore possible resolution of the case. A Resolution Conference will take place with matters that are proceeding to preliminary inquiry and where the hearing is set for two or more days, or has been the subject of a "focus hearing" application by either the accused or the Crown.

The utilization statistics for the various Winnipeg court sittings are provided in Appendices C to J. An overview is provided with each appendix as an aid to understanding the function of these court sittings, for example, trial courts versus bail or first appearance type courts.

Circuit Court

The Provincial Court holds court regularly in over sixty communities throughout Manitoba. The communities to which the court travels from a larger court centre, such as Winnipeg or Thompson is called a "circuit". This is an important facet of Provincial Court work. Community facilities, such as legion halls and school gymnasiums, become the courtroom for the day. Judges, Crown Attorneys, defence counsel and court clerks, may travel by car, plane, helicopter and/or boat to a circuit court location. For a listing of all Provincial Court sitting locations, please see Appendix B.

As a result of the distances and the number of matters involved, sittings in both regional court offices and circuit court communities are measured in days as opposed to half days in Winnipeg. The circuit courts in particular, sit less frequently and matters appearing in court may not be separated into special sittings but heard together at one sitting - youth, adult and

sometimes family matters combined. Appendices K to P provide the court utilization statistics for regional and circuit court centres.

Proceedings Before the Court

The number of proceedings that come before the Provincial Court in a given year is currently measured in terms of number of charges or proceedings as opposed to number of accused persons before the court. One accused can be charged with more than one criminal offence or have before the court more than one proceeding, therefore, one can conclude that the number of accused persons, either adult or youth, before the court will be less than the number of proceedings before the court. The number of proceedings before the court for fiscal year 2006/07 is set out in the following table.

2006/2007			
	Outstanding from Previous Year	New	Disposed of During the Year
Total Adult Charges (including businesses)	71101	72560	73073
<i>Criminal Code</i> Offences	64775	62963	64357
<i>Controlled Drugs and Substances Act</i> Offences	2623	2674	2519
Other Federal Statute Offences	296	562	289
<i>Highway Traffic Act</i> offences	1569	2880	2403
Other Provincial Statute Offences	939	1215	1266
Other matters e.g. applications, reviews, process hearings	899	2266	2239
Total Youth Charges	9326	16351	16258

Time to Disposition of Charges

In previous Annual Reports, information was provided as to trial date availability and this was a measurement of the time between the entering of a plea of not guilty and the trial date. That is the common method used by courts to report trial date availability. However, this measurement does not include the amount of time that a case was before the court until the plea was entered (the front end of the system). Therefore, measuring the time from the date of the first court appearance to the disposition of the case (takes into account matters not only disposed of by way of trial but also by way of guilty plea) is a truer reflection of how long it has taken a case to proceed in the Provincial Court system in Manitoba. With that in mind, the following information is provided in regard to the time to disposition of charges in both Winnipeg and its circuits and the regions and regional circuits of the Provincial Court during the 2006/07 fiscal year.

Winnipeg Centre and Circuit Courts

During the 2006/07 fiscal year, 50% of the non-domestic violence charges pertaining to both adults and youth in the Winnipeg Centre of the court were disposed of within 4 months from the date of the first court appearance. The remaining non-domestic violence charges pertaining to both adults and youth that were disposed of in 2006/07 were done so within the following timelines from date of the first court appearance: 18% within 8 months; 11% within 12 months; 9% within 18 months and 12% taking over 18 months to be concluded.

During the 2006/07 fiscal year, 64% of the domestic violence charges pertaining to both adults and youth in the Winnipeg Centre of the court were disposed of within 4 months from the date of the first court appearance. The remaining domestic violence charges pertaining to both adults and youth that were disposed of in 2006/07 were done so within the following timelines from the date of the first court appearance: 19% within 8 months; 11% within 12 months; 17% within 18 months and only 4% taking over 18 months to be concluded.

For the circuit courts out of Winnipeg, in the 2006/07 fiscal year, 49% of the non-domestic violence charges pertaining to both adults and youth and 40% of the domestic violence charges pertaining to both adults and youth were disposed of within 4 months from the date of the first court appearance. The remaining charges pertaining to both adults and youth before the

Winnipeg circuit courts that were disposed of in 2006/07 were done so within the following timelines from the date of the first court appearance:

	Disposed of within 8 months	Disposed of within 12 months	Disposed of within 18 months	Disposed of after 18 months
Non-domestic violence charges	23%	13%	10%	5%
Domestic violence charges	20%	15%	20%	4%

Regional Court Centres and Circuit Courts

During the 2006/07 fiscal year in the regional court centres, 72% of non-domestic violence charges pertaining to both adults and youth and 64% of domestic violence charges pertaining to both adults and youth were disposed of within 4 months from the date of the first court appearance. The remaining charges pertaining to both adults and youth in the regions that were disposed of in 2006/07 were done so within the following timelines from date of the first court appearance:

	Disposed of within 8 months	Disposed of within 12 months	Disposed of within 18 months	Disposed of after 18 months
Non-domestic violence charges	16%	7%	4%	2%
Domestic violence charges	21%	9%	4%	1%

During the 2006/07 fiscal year in the circuit courts of the regional court centres, 60% of non-domestic violence charges pertaining to both adults and youth and 54% of domestic violence charges pertaining to both adults and youth were disposed of within 4 months from the date of the first court appearance. The remaining charges pertaining to both adults and youth in the regional circuit courts that were disposed of in 2006/07 were done so within the following timelines from date of the first court appearance:

	Disposed of within 8 months	Disposed of within 12 months	Disposed of within 18 months	Disposed of after 18 months
Non-domestic violence charges	20%	9%	7%	4%
Domestic violence charges	25%	11%	6%	4%

Some circuit locations sit weekly; others sit once every month or once every two to three months. If a court is “weathered out” causing court to be cancelled, this contributes to increased delay as matters are then rescheduled to the next sitting of the court. The availability of trial dates is a concern in the North, specifically, the Thompson Centre and its circuits. There can be matters where the next available trial or preliminary inquiry date is one year from the entry of a not guilty plea. For most circuits from The Pas Centre of the court, the next available trial date is approximately six months.

Overall, it is important to keep in mind the following factors that determine the earliest available court date for a given matter at a given time:

- the resolution of previously scheduled cases close to the scheduled trial start date freeing-up trial dates that may or may not be able to be taken by another case looking to set trial dates;
- Crown and defence counsel schedule of availability;
- Whether there have been consent adjournments on the part of Crown and defence counsel; for example due to full disclosure having not yet been provided;
- Whether the accused was released from custody and then re-arrested on alleged breach of release conditions that result in a delay in the disposition of his or her substantive charge(s) before the court;
- the number of multi-day cases that require a block of trial dates so that fewer cases can be scheduled for trial at a given time.

The court continues to work with the Crown to explore better ways of managing and scheduling cases for trial and disposition so as to maximize the use of valuable court time and reduce court delay. The court will also continue to emphasize to both Crown and defence counsel that adjournments or remands of cases should only occur when there is good reason to do so - that the goal of the criminal justice system is to move cases toward disposition in a timely way so as to permit both accused and victims to be able to move forward with their lives.

Inquests

Under *The Fatality Inquiries Act*, where the Chief Medical Examiner determines that an inquest ought to be held, it is presided over by a judge of the Provincial Court. At the end of inquest hearings, the inquest judge provides a written report to the Minister of Justice and the Chief Medical Examiner. The report is to include any recommendations by the inquest judge concerning the laws of the province, programs, policies or practices of government or relevant public agencies or institutions which, in the opinion of the inquest judge, would reduce the likelihood of deaths in similar circumstances to those which resulted in the death that is the subject of the inquest. The inquest judge is not to express an opinion or make a determination about who is or could be blamed in a way that could reasonably identify a person at fault.

Inquest Reports are to be completed within six months following the end of inquest hearings, unless the Chief Judge has approved an extension (up to 3 months or in exceptional circumstances, a length of time beyond 3 months). The six month completion date for reports does not apply to those inquests where hearings were completed before July 1, 2003.

As of March 31, 2007, there were three inquest hearings ongoing and two inquests that had been called by the Chief Medical Examiner but where inquest hearing dates had yet to be scheduled or had been scheduled to commence after April 1, 2007. Five Inquest Reports were awaiting completion as of March 31, 2007.

In 2006/07 there were eight Inquest Reports issued by the Provincial Court:

Name of Deceased	Date of Report Release	Time to Complete Report
Eric Clipping	April 20, 2006	10 months and 24 days
Sharon Joyce Horn	June 13, 2006	5.5 months
Dennis J. St. Paul	July 19, 2006	2 months
Keyanna Marie Snowdon	August 1, 2006	6 months
Peter Stevenson	October 30, 2006	3.5 months
Melford William Nickoshie	December 11, 2006	1 month
John Erik Demery	December 22, 2006	6 months
Dennis Robinson	March 26, 2007	5.75 months

Law Enforcement Review Act

The Law Enforcement Review Act sets out the process to be followed when there is a complaint about the conduct of members of policing agencies in Manitoba. There are two processes under the *Act* where the Provincial Court is required to be involved: one is called a “review” and the other is called a “hearing”. If the Commissioner of the Law Enforcement Review Agency decides not to take further action with respect to a complaint, the complainant may apply to the Commissioner to have the decision reviewed by a judge of the Provincial Court. In 2006/07 there were ten reviews conducted by Provincial Court judges and this number is up slightly from 2005/06 in which seven reviews took place.

Hearings may be held where the Commissioner recommends a penalty for a disciplinary default and the complainant disagrees with the recommended penalty; and where the Commissioner refers the complaint to a judge for a decision on whether the complaint should result in disciplinary action. In 2006/07 there were nine hearings held by the Provincial Court and in 2005/06 there were eight hearings held.

Court Projects and Initiatives

The Provincial Court Front End Project (formerly the Domestic Violence Front End Project)

The Domestic Violence Front End Project was fully implemented as of March 1, 2004 and it has proven to be successful in meeting project goals. The project was designed to ensure that once a matter is before a judge, a meaningful act will occur (as opposed to endless remands and delay), and strict timelines are to be followed by Crown and defence to move matters more quickly through the system. This project was developed in cooperation with the Crown, defence counsel, Courts Administration and the Winnipeg Police Service.

Fundamental to the project are Pre-trial Coordinators who preside in court to ensure that specific administrative matters are addressed within agreed upon timelines and that in-custody accused are transported from jails to court only when necessary. Seventy-five per cent of all pre-plea matters are before the Pre-trial Coordinators. This allows judges to deal with meaningful events in court, such as contested applications, bail applications, trials and guilty pleas. As a result of this, one full trial day was added to further address trial delay. Another critical component of the project has resulted in a major and significant change in practice as

Crown attorneys are assigned to files as they come into their office. This allows for Crown ownership of a file and ensures all necessary work is completed at an early stage.

The Front End Project has produced the following results to date:

- ❑ Trial delay for an accused out of custody has been reduced to 9 ½ months;
- ❑ On average, pending availability of counsel, an accused who is in custody can go to trial as early as one month from entering a plea;
- ❑ Overtime costs for transporting accused in custody were reduced by \$94,000.00 during the 2006/07 fiscal year;
- ❑ Crown attorneys are generally satisfied with the new system which significantly reduces duplication of work. Crown attorneys now use their time to review files thoroughly and consult with victims;
- ❑ Crime victim service workers and victims of crime have noticed a positive change as a result of early access to the Crown assigned to conduct the prosecution; earlier trial dates allow victims to get on with their lives; and
- ❑ Defence counsel know which Crown to contact and that the Crown will be in a position to have meaningful discussions about the case.

Those involved in the development and implementation of the project were honoured to receive the Institute of Public Administration of Canada 2005 Gold Medal for Innovative Management and the 2006 United Nations Public Service Award in the category of Improving the Delivery of Services. The project continues to be fine-tuned and is still a work in progress. Performance indicators continue to be monitored and adjustments are made in accordance with their outcomes. The success of the Front End Project has led to front end reform in other areas of the Provincial Court system. In October and November 2005, the project was expanded to include all adult custody charges and the Fisher Branch circuit of the court. It is anticipated that the Front End Project will further contribute to significant reduction in backlogs and expenditures which will provide better access to the criminal justice system, more effective utilization of provincial resources and improved satisfaction with the justice system. It is planned for the next year to expand the project to the "final frontier" of the work of the Provincial Court in Winnipeg - adult and youth out-of-custody matters.

Drug Treatment Court - Winnipeg

The Winnipeg Drug Treatment Court (WDTC) pilot project continued its work in 2006/07. The short term goals of the WDTC are to reduce recidivism through judicially-supervised drug treatment programs; break the cycle of drug use, crime and incarceration; provide information on community supports and improve a participant's life skills through employment, vocational and interpersonal support. The long term goals are to reduce the number of crimes associated with addiction; reduce harm due to drug use and addiction; provide the participant with the tools for vocation and educational success, and appropriate housing; tools for positive mental and physical health; and create improved family relationships.

The WDTC model includes six phases: referral, orientation/assessment, stabilization, intensive treatment, maintenance and graduation. The court uses a "harm reduction" approach that recognizes that clients may relapse at various times in their struggle against addiction, but at the same time promotes individual accountability through weekly court visits and drug screening.

Participants must meet the program's criteria for referral, which are:

- Minimum one year participation;
- A period of stability and compliance with the program;
- Commitment to personal goals of employment, education or healthy living; and
- A favourable recommendation from the Drug Treatment Court team.

The participant is provided with legal advice and is required to sign a waiver giving up his or her rights to a trial, agreeing to a delay in sentencing and committing to enter the Drug Treatment Court. A first court appearance is then made at which time release is granted upon conditions consistent with the treatment plan. The participant will then begin a period of regular court appearances; periodic and random drug testing; and drug counselling and treatment. Each participant has access to a range of other support services that improve the chance of long-term rehabilitation.

The WDTC sits once per week and is preceded by a meeting of the judge with the drug treatment team and the parties. Through the use of rewards and sanctions, the judge assists in compliance with the treatment plan. In 2006/07 there were 36 referrals to the program and 2

graduates. It is recognized that as this court takes on offenders with severe addictions accompanied by substantial criminal pasts, relapses are to be expected. At the same time, fair success has been found with those who stay with the program.

FASD Youth Justice Project - Winnipeg

The FASD Youth Justice Project continued in fiscal year 2006/07. This project recognizes the need for intensive risk management of youths in the criminal justice system who have been identified as having a Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder or FASD. The project is a collaboration with Justice Canada – Youth Justice Renewal Fund, Manitoba Justice, Interagency FASD Program, Clinic for Drug and Alcohol Exposed Children, Manitoba Health, the Winnipeg Police Service and Youth Forensic Services. The goals of this pilot project are to provide the young person affected with FASD with an appropriate disposition, including a multidisciplinary assessment and improved access to support services and also to provide information to all justice system participants and the young person's family on the community supports available to assist young persons with FASD.

Mental Health Court Pilot - Winnipeg

At the close of the 2006/07 fiscal year, the Provincial Court was actively involved in the development of a mental health court for the Winnipeg Centre of the court. The main goal of a mental health court is to ensure that those persons charged with a criminal offence and who are assessed as having a severe and pervasive mental illness are not languishing in custody as a result but are proceeding before the court in a timely manner and given the opportunity to access appropriate supports and services so as to prevent them from re-involvement in the criminal justice system in the future.

A steering committee, led by the Chief Judge and comprised of representatives from the justice system, including Crown and defence counsel, Winnipeg Police Service and Manitoba Corrections, and representatives from Manitoba Health and the Winnipeg Regional Health Authority, expects to meet frequently in the year ahead with the goal of finalizing a proposal for a mental health court pilot for consideration by both the Minister of Justice and the Minister of Health.

Overview and Future Directions

- The Honourable Raymond E. Wyant, Chief Judge

In my last Annual Reports, I noted the nomination of the court's Front End Project for the United Nations 2006 Public Service Award, and I am proud to advise that in June 2006, I and the Premier of Manitoba, The Honourable Gary Doer and Manitoba's Minister of Justice and Attorney General, The Honourable Gord Mackintosh, with members of the Front End team comprising both courts administration and the Public Prosecutions Branch of Manitoba Justice made the journey to receive this prestigious honour. This was truly amazing! The international recognition of the Front End Project gave us an emotional boost that buoyed us along as we continued to fine tune Front End processes and planned for the future of the project. The last remaining areas of expansion, that will in essence become the way we manage most criminal matters appearing in the Winnipeg Centre of the court, are general adult out of custody and youth out of custody matters.

Sometimes when you are in the trenches, it is difficult to step back and survey what it is you have truly achieved and the bestowment of awards from the Institute of Public Administration of Canada and the United Nations help us to appreciate what we have accomplished. However, more important are the tangible indicators of success found in our quarterly evaluation reports, such as clearance rates.

As well, our efforts have been recognized and noticed in many other jurisdictions both in Canada and abroad. We have made several presentations on our Front End process changes to other jurisdictions anxious to achieve similar results and successes.

Clearance rates measure the number of dispositions in relation to the number of new matters coming into the system. We want to resolve cases in an efficient manner so that the right of the accused to be tried within a reasonable time frame is not in jeopardy and perhaps more importantly, victims and complainants can achieve closure sooner and be able to move forward. If the clearance rate is over 100%, it means that more matters were concluded than entered the system. If the clearance rate is under 100%, it means that a backlog was created. The goal is to be over 100% each year, keeping in mind that the courts do not have control over the number of new charges coming into the system.

Before the project began from April 2003 to March 2004, there were 14,571 new domestic violence charges with 12,870 dispositions for a clearance rate of 88%. Data for the 2006/07 fiscal year shows there were 13,757 new charges with 13,169 dispositions showing a clearance rate of 96%. This reflects an increase of 8% for the 2006/07 fiscal year.

The clearance rates for the Fisher Branch circuit court during the 2006/07 fiscal year was 122.8% - 689 new charges with 846 dispositions. The clearance rate in the first year of the Front End Project in the Fisher Branch circuit court was also above 100%. The Front End Project for the Fisher Branch circuit court has exceeded its goal of a 100% clearance rate for both fiscal years!

The results are proof positive that attacking the delay that can and has occurred in the front end of the court system is effective, and I thank all justice system participants in the Front End Project for their commitment and valued input which has made these improvements to Provincial Court service possible.

Complement

As expected, we experienced another year of significant bench transition as described in detail at the commencement of this report. The timely appointment of new members to the court continues to be a concern. To be without judicial resources for any period of time places a strain on the ability of the court to meet its obligations to hear matters in a timely manner and this is exacerbated when the wait for a new judicial appointment is lengthy.

The North

In my previous Annual Reports I expressed the need for a third judge in the Thompson Centre of the court. I am very grateful that the Government had announced this additional judicial position and at the end of this fiscal year, the work of the judicial nominating committee was completed with an appointment expected imminently. As I have stated before, while this additional judicial resource for the citizens of Thompson and its circuits is important in improving the accessibility of justice to citizens in the north, it is really not near enough.

There is much more that needs to be done. The Thompson Centre of the court and its circuit court points are regularly faced with large caseloads and it is growing more and more difficult

to process these cases in a timely manner. Added to that is the ongoing challenge of attracting and retaining people to work in the justice system in Northern Manitoba. I reiterate also what I have described in previous reports, in regard to the inadequacies of the remand and correctional facilities for both adult and young persons awaiting trial or who have been given custodial sentences; and the unsuitability of the Thompson court facility given the variety of services provided there and the lack of proper security for court staff.

To resolve these issues will take time but there may be things that we can do in the interim. In the coming year, I intend to work with the various justice system partners to see what is possible in reaching meaningful resolutions of these issues, and it is certainly of benefit to know that Government is aware of these significant issues.

Relief Judges

I once again draw attention to the need for a relief system of judges who can be called upon when there is delay in the appointment of a new judge, an unexpected judicial absence due to illness or the scheduling of lengthy complex cases or inquests that will require significant judicial resources. Flexibility is a key attribute of a relief judge system, such a system being in place in most Canadian provincial court jurisdictions.

Without a relief judge system, my only option when judges are not available is to close courts, resulting in case delays. It is critical to have a system that is well resourced and flexible to meet the varying demands of the criminal justice system.

Judicial Justices of the Peace

On May 29, 2006, the amendments to *The Provincial Court Act* creating the three levels of justices of the peace for Manitoba - judicial, staff and community justices, came into effect. Nineteen judicial justices of the peace were appointed, all of whom had many years of experience in the Manitoba court system in positions such as administrators, deputy registrars and staff magistrates (as they were then called). In the coming year it is my intention to ensure that judicial justices of the peace receive the appropriate education to assist them in their new role and to provide training so that judicial justices of the peace can be given a greater role in the hearing of contested matters under *The Highway Traffic Act*, where trial delay is of significant concern. There are also plans for further training and education for

Manitoba's staff and community justices of the peace. This is an exciting time for the court as we consider various options where justices of the peace can enhance the service provided by the Provincial Court to Manitobans.

Our People

We have incredibly dedicated and hard working people who support the work of the Provincial Court. I wish to thank them and acknowledge their efforts in serving the citizens of our province.

Enhancing Public Confidence in the Judicial System

I have spoken previously about the importance of public confidence in the judicial system and how the judiciary can take steps to ensure this is so. I continue to be an advocate of judicial outreach initiatives and have experienced first hand the benefits of discussing with interested citizens of this province the role and responsibilities of the judiciary and how members of the judicial branch of our democratic government are held to account for the decisions that they make.

I continue to work on the National Committee on Justice Efficiencies and Access to the Justice System. This important national committee has already produced major reports dealing with Mega-Trials, Case Management, and Early Case Consideration, and is now examining issues related to Self-Represented Litigants, and the Use of Technology in the Court System. This committee brings together representatives of the judiciary, the private bar, prosecutions and the federal and provincial governments to discuss, at a high level, issues related to efficiencies and access, and to make recommendations for initiatives that Ministers of Justice can consider.

We live in a time where the interest in justice system issues is great on the part of the public. All justice system participants must be vigilant in their efforts toward making the justice system relevant to the needs of the people serviced by it. I believe that we, in the Provincial Court system in Manitoba, are taking steps in the right direction. I look forward to the year ahead as we enhance the work of the Front End Project and possibly consider other ideas aimed at providing the best Provincial Court service for Manitobans.

Appendix A

Judges of The Provincial Court at March 31, 2007

Page 1 of 3

Judge	Date of Appointment	Court Centre
The Honourable Chief Judge Raymond E. Wyant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Appointed Chief Judge of the Provincial Court from July 10, 2002 to July 9, 2009 - Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on May 20, 1998 	Winnipeg
The Honourable Associate Chief Judge Mary Kate Harvie	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Appointed Associate Chief Judge of the Provincial Court from September 18, 2002 to September 17, 2009 - Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on July 19, 2000 (effective July 26, 2000) 	Winnipeg
The Honourable Associate Chief Judge Murray Thompson	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Appointed Associate Chief Judge of the Provincial Court from August 2, 2006 to August 1, 2013 - Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on March 26, 2003 	Thompson
The Honourable Judge Howard Collerman	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on July 1, 1975 	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Charles N. Rubin	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on July 1, 1975 	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Brian D. Giesbrecht	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on August 25, 1976 - Appointed Acting Chief Judge from June 17, 1993 to December 15, 1993 - Appointed Associate Chief Judge of the Provincial Court from November 1, 1991 to December 31, 2005 	Brandon
The Honourable Judge Theodore J. Lismer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on January 17, 1977 	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Ronald J. Meyers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on April 6, 1977 (effective November 1, 1977) 	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Marvin F. Garfinkel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on December 5, 1979 	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Charles K. Newcombe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on February 1, 1980 	Winnipeg

Appendix A

Judges of The Provincial Court at March 31, 2007

Page 2 of 3

Judge	Date of Appointment	Court Centre
The Honourable Judge Richard W. Thompson	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on January 28, 1987	Dauphin
The Honourable Judge Brian M. Corrin	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on March 4, 1988	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Susan V. Devine	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on March 4, 1988	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Linda M. Giesbrecht	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on March 4, 1988	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge John P. Guy	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on May 15, 1989	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Roger J.C. Gregoire	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on January 16, 1991	The Pas
The Honourable Judge Krystyna D. Tarwid	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on July 6, 1994	Brandon
The Honourable Judge Brian G. Colli	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on September 21, 1994	Thompson
The Honourable Judge Robert G. Cummings	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on September 28, 1994	Portage La Prairie
The Honourable Judge Heather R. Pullan	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on December 21, 1994	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Brent D. Stewart	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on April 15, 1998	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Lynn A. Stannard	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on August 4, 1999	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Sidney B. Lerner	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on August 4, 1999	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Marva J. Smith	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on October 27, 1999	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Judith A. Elliott	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on July 26, 2000	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Kathlyn Mary A. Curtis	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on February 28, 2001	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge John Combs	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on March 26, 2003	Brandon
The Honourable Judge Fred H. Sandhu	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on April 30, 2003	Winnipeg

Appendix A

Judges of The Provincial Court at March 31, 2007

Page 3 of 3

Judge	Date of Appointment	Court Centre
The Honourable Judge Timothy Preston	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on April 30, 2003	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Kenneth Champagne	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on April 13, 2005	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Kelly Moar	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on April 13, 2005	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Christine Harapiak	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on April 13, 2005	Dauphin
The Honourable Judge Robin A. Finlayson	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on January 31, 2006	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Brian Midwinter	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on October 18, 2006	The Pas
The Honourable Judge Janice leMaistre	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on November 22, 2006	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Catherine Carlson	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on November 22, 2006	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Robert (Rocky) Pollack	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on December 14, 2006	Winnipeg
The Honourable Judge Rodney Garson	- Appointed a Judge of the Provincial Court on December 14, 2006	Winnipeg

Appendix B

Provincial Court - Court Locations

Page 1 of 7

ALTONA (*Winnipeg Circuit*)
Rhineland Pioneer Centre
221 – 10th Avenue Northwest

AMARANTH (*Portage La Prairie Circuit*)
Amaranth Memorial Hall
205 Kinosota Road North

ARBORG (*Winnipeg Circuit*)
Community Hall

ASHERN (*Winnipeg Circuit*)
Centennial Hall

BEAUSEJOUR (*Selkirk Circuit*)
Court House
20 – 1st Street

BERENS RIVER (*Selkirk Circuit*)
Community Hall

BLOODVEIN (*Selkirk Circuit*)
Band Hall

BOISSEVAIN (*Brandon Circuit*)
Civic Complex
420 South Railway Street

BRANDON
Provincial Court
100 - 1104 Princess Avenue

BROCHET (*Thompson Circuit*)
Community Hall

CAMPERVILLE (*Dauphin Circuit*)
Community Hall

Appendix B

Provincial Court - Court Locations

Page 2 of 7

CARMAN (*Morden Circuit*)

Ladies Auxiliary Hall

Royal Canadian Legion #18

28 – 1st Street

CHURCHILL (*Thompson Circuit*)

Royal Canadian Legion

23 Hudson Square

CRANBERRY PORTAGE (*The Pas Circuit*)

Royal Canadian Legion

CROSS LAKE (*Thompson Circuit*)

Community Hall

DAUPHIN

Provincial Court

114 River Avenue West

EASTERVILLE (*The Pas Circuit*)

Community Hall

EMERSON (*Winnipeg Circuit*)

Town Hall

104 Church Street

FISHER BRANCH (*Winnipeg Circuit*)

Community Centre Hall

FLIN FLON

Provincial Court

104 – 143 Main Street

GARDEN HILL (*Selkirk Circuit*)

Garden Hill First Nation Band Hall

GILLAM (*Thompson Circuit*)

Recreational Centre

Appendix B

Provincial Court - Court Locations

Page 3 of 7

GIMLI (*Winnipeg Circuit*)

Gimli School

64 – 2nd Avenue

GOD'S LAKE NARROWS (*Thompson Circuit*)

Community Hall

GOD'S RIVER (*Thompson Circuit*)

Amos Okemow School

GRAND RAPIDS (*The Pas Circuit*)

St. Alexander Roman Catholic Mission

KILLARNEY (*Brandon Circuit*)

Community Centre

300 Broadway

LAC BROCHET (*Thompson Circuit*)

Band Hall

LAC DU BONNET (*Selkirk Circuit*)

Royal Canadian Legion

45 McArthur Avenue

LEAF RAPIDS (*Thompson Circuit*)

Town Council Chamber

LITTLE GRAND RAPIDS (*Selkirk Circuit*)

Band Hall

LUNDAR (*Winnipeg Circuit*)

Community Hall

LYNN LAKE (*Thompson Circuit*)

Royal Canadian Legion

MINNEDOSA

Provincial Court

70 – 3rd Avenue South West

Appendix B

Provincial Court - Court Locations

Page 4 of 7

MOOSE LAKE (*The Pas Circuit*)

Community Hall

MORDEN

Provincial Court

301 Wardrop Street

MORRIS (*Winnipeg Circuit*)

Morris Legion Hall

NEEPAWA (*Minnedosa Circuit*)

Neepawa Municipal Offices

282 Hamilton Street

NELSON HOUSE (*Thompson Circuit*)

Wellness Centre

NORWAY HOUSE (*Thompson Circuit*)

Kendsew Sipi First Nation Multiplex

OXFORD HOUSE (*Thompson Circuit*)

Band Hall

PAUNGASSI (*Selkirk Circuit*)

Band Hall

PINEFALLS/POWERVIEW (*Selkirk Circuit*)

Legion Hall

7 Tamarack Street,

Pine Falls

POPLAR RIVER (*Selkirk Circuit*)

Band Hall

PORTAGE LA PRAIRIE

Provincial Court

25 Tupper Street North

PUKATAWAGAN (*The Pas Circuit*)

Mathias Colomb Cree Nation Youth Centre

Appendix B

Provincial Court - Court Locations

Page 5 of 7

ROBLIN (*Dauphin Circuit*)

Provincial Building

117 – 2nd Avenue North

ROSSBURN (*Minnedosa Circuit*)

Community Hall

Main Street

RUSSELL (*Minnedosa Circuit*)

The Russell & District Community Centre

106 Shell River Avenue

SANDY BAY (*Dauphin Circuit*)

Sandy Bay Community Complex

239 Main Road

Sandy Bay First Nation

SELKIRK

Provincial Court

101 – 235 Eaton Avenue

SHAMATTAWA (*Thompson Circuit*)

Band Hall

SNOW LAKE (*The Pas Circuit*)

BPO Elks Lodge Hall

7 Wekusko Drive

SOUTH INDIAN LAKE (*Thompson Circuit*)

Fred Moore & Jimmy Spence Arena

SPLIT LAKE (*Thompson Circuit*)

Band Hall

STEINBACH

Provincial Court

284 Reimer Avenue

Appendix B

Provincial Court - Court Locations

Page 6 of 7

ST. BONIFACE (*Winnipeg Circuit*)

Provincial Court

227 Provencher Boulevard

ST. MARTIN (held in Gypsumville) (*Winnipeg Circuit*)

Community Hall

ST. PIERRE-JOLYS (*Winnipeg Circuit*)

Red River Region Bilingual Service Centre

427 Sabourin Street

STE. THERESA POINT (*Selkirk Circuit*)

SIOUX VALLEY (*Brandon Circuit*)

Resource Centre

STONEWALL (*Winnipeg Circuit*)

IOOG Hall

SWAN RIVER

Provincial Court

201 – 4th Avenue South

TEULON (*Winnipeg Circuit*)

Rockwood Centennial Centre

THE PAS

Provincial Court

300 – 3rd Street East

THOMPSON

Provincial Court

59 Elizabeth Road

VIRDEN

Provincial Court

232 Wellington Street West

WAYWAYSEECAPPO (*Minnedosa Circuit*)

Band Hall

Appendix B

Provincial Court - Court Locations

Page 7 of 7

WINNIPEG

Provincial Court

Law Courts Building

Main Floor, 408 York Avenue

WINNIPEG (Summary Convictions)

Provincial Court

Main Floor – 373 Broadway

Winnipeg, Manitoba

Appendix C

Court Utilization: Non-Domestic Violence Trials, Winnipeg

Page 1 of 2

Overview:

Courtroom 404 in the morning session of each court day is the assignment court for non-domestic violence trials in Winnipeg. Trials that proceed are then heard in Courtrooms 404, 405, 406 and 407.

Month	Court-room	½ days scheduled		½ days used		Average Hours per ½ day	
		2005-2006	2006-2007	2005-2006	2006-2007	2005-2006	2006-2007
APRIL							
	404	42	36	25	22	1.8	1.6
	405	22	20	18	17	2.0	1.8
	406	24	20	14	16	1.1	1.4
	407	30	22	21	12	1.7	1.1
MAY							
	404	36	40	23	26	1.5	1.7
	405	26	32	19	23	1.6	1.7
	406	24	38	14	26	1.6	1.4
	407	22	20	16	14	1.8	1.3
JUNE							
	404	44	44	28	29	1.8	1.6
	405	34	42	27	30	1.9	1.2
	406	32	22	23	14	2.0	1.6
	407	22	24	14	15	1.6	1.8
JULY							
	404	40	42	26	26	2.1	1.8
	405	26	26	18	17	2.1	1.8
	406	26	22	22	13	2.1	1.9
	407	8	0	5	0	1.7	0
AUGUST							
	404	44	44	29	28	1.8	1.8
	405	38	36	27	26	1.6	1.5
	406	22	38	17	26	1.7	1.8
	407	10	4	8	2	1.9	1.5
SEPTEMBER							
	404	38	36	23	21	1.7	2.1
	405	28	28	19	14	1.7	1.4
	406	28	28	22	20	2.0	1.4
	407	10	14	8	8	1.9	1
OCTOBER							
	404	40	42	27	24	1.7	1.7
	405	28	28	21	19	1.6	1.5
	406	22	22	15	15	1.6	1
	407	20	24	15	15	1.6	1.6

Appendix C

Court Utilization: Non-Domestic Violence Trials, Winnipeg

Page 2 of 2

Month	Court-room	½ days scheduled		½ days used		Average Hours per ½ day	
		2005-2006	2006-2007	2005-2006	2006-2007	2005-2006	2006-2007
NOVEMBER							
	404	40	44	26	27	1.5	1.2
	405	30	28	22	18	1.6	1.5
	406	24	32	17	20	1.3	1.4
	407	20	22	14	15	1.5	1.9
DECEMBER							
	404	36	30	22	18	1.6	1.8
	405	34	18	26	15	1.6	1.4
	406	24	14	13	12	1.5	1.0
	407	22	10	14	7	1.2	1.5
JANUARY							
	404	42	42	29	27	1.8	1.8
	405	36	40	22	29	1.9	1.4
	406	30	32	18	22	2.0	1.1
	407	28	20	20	11	1.4	1.3
FEBRUARY							
	404	40	42	22	25	1.2	1.6
	405	28	36	18	27	1.7	1.4
	406	36	22	32	19	2.1	1.4
	407	26	42	17	34	1.9	1.6
MARCH							
	404	46	46	27	31	1.6	1.7
	405	34	40	25	27	1.4	1.6
	406	28	40	18	30	1.7	1.8
	407	8	18	4	12	1.8	1.2

Appendix D

Court Utilization: Federal Trials, Winnipeg

Overview:

Courtroom 409 in the morning session of each court day is the assignment court for federal statute offences (excluding Criminal Code offences). Trials that proceed are heard in Courtrooms 409 and 411.

Month	Court-room	½ days scheduled		½ days used		Average Hours per ½ day	
		2005-2006	2006-2007	2005-2006	2006-2007	2005-2006	2006-2007
APRIL							
	409	42	32	25	22	2.0	1.4
	411	26	12	25	9	2.2	1.5
MAY							
	409	36	38	23	25	1.9	1.2
	411	12	16	9	9	1.8	1.1
JUNE							
	409	44	44	29	26	1.9	1.2
	411	30	10	20	5	1.4	1.1
JULY							
	409	40	28	26	21	1.8	1.6
	411	0	4	0	2	0	.8
AUGUST							
	409	44	42	30	30	2.0	1.4
	411	12	4	11	2	2.1	.8
SEPTEMBER							
	409	36	38	29	29	2.1	1.5
	411	8	12	6	7	1.3	1.8
OCTOBER							
	409	40	44	26	29	2.4	1.3
	411	20	8	15	6	1.6	1.3
NOVEMBER							
	409	40	40	28	26	1.9	1.1
	411	20	18	15	12	1.6	1.6
DECEMBER							
	409	36	28	23	23	1.4	1.3
	411	12	6	8	4	1.2	2.2
JANUARY							
	409	42	42	29	29	1.6	1.4
	411	28	18	23	14	2.2	2.1
FEBRUARY							
	409	38	40	25	28	1.6	1.4
	411	12	10	7	8	1.8	2.2
MARCH							
	409	46	36	31	29	1.3	1.5
	411	10	2	8	1	1.6	1

Appendix E

Court Utilization: Youth Trials, Winnipeg

Overview:

Courtroom 306 in the morning session of each court day is the assignment court for youth trials. Trials that proceed are then heard in Courtrooms 306 and 307.

Month	Court-room	½ days scheduled		½ days used		Average Hours per ½ day	
		2005-2006	2006-2007	2005-2006	2006-2007	2005-2006	2006-2007
APRIL							
	306	40	36	30	24	1.8	1.2
	307	16	16	9	13	1.9	1.7
MAY							
	306	38	36	19	21	1.1	1.7
	307	18	10	12	8	1.6	1.5
JUNE							
	306	44	40	27	25	1.3	1.6
	307	26	22	18	17	2.1	1.9
JULY							
	306	22	26	12	19	1.4	1.8
	307	1	2	1	1	0.75	1.2
AUGUST							
	306	14	20	11	13	1.7	1.2
	307	1	2	1	1	3	.5
SEPTEMBER							
	306	38	34	23	22	1.0	1.7
	307	10	8	6	4	1.0	1
OCTOBER							
	306	30	42	23	26	1.7	1.4
	307	0	16	0	10	0	1.3
NOVEMBER							
	306	36	38	24	25	1.6	1.2
	307	22	10	16	7	1.7	2.5
DECEMBER							
	306	32	22	21	15	1.4	1.5
	307	18	16	13	11	1.5	1.8
JANUARY							
	306	42	38	21	25	1.3	1
	307	14	16	8	13	1.4	1.4
FEBRUARY							
	306	34	32	20	19	0.84	1
	307	32	8	24	7	1.7	1.6
MARCH							
	306	36	36	25	27	1.8	1.9
	307	24	14	17	10	1.9	1.5

Appendix F

Court Utilization: Domestic Violence Trials, Winnipeg

Overview:

Courtroom 403 in the morning session of each court day is the assignment court for domestic violence trials. Trials that proceed are heard in Courtrooms 403 and 412.

Month	Court-room	½ days scheduled		½ days used		Average Hours per ½ day	
		2005-2006	2006-2007	2005-2006	2006-2007	2005-2006	2006-2007
APRIL							
	403	42	36	26	22	1.8	1.5
	412	10	22	7	16	1.3	1.2
MAY							
	403	36	40	19	24	2.1	1.6
	412	22	18	14	10	1.8	1.8
JUNE							
	403	44	44	25	31	1.8	1.9
	412	18	18	15	13	1.6	2.2
JULY							
	403	38	38	26	23	1.9	1.8
	412	16	24	13	16	1.7	1.3
AUGUST							
	403	44	44	26	27	2.0	1.8
	412	26	16	18	10	1.7	2
SEPTEMBER							
	403	38	36	22	22	1.9	1.7
	412	18	28	12	17	1.6	.9
OCTOBER							
	403	40	42	21	29	2.1	1.8
	412	0	24	0	0	0	1.7
NOVEMBER							
	403	40	42	23	29	1.8	1.8
	412	20	24	15	20	1.6	1.7
DECEMBER							
	403	36	42	24	27	1.6	1.5
	412	16	32	12	19	2.1	3
JANUARY							
	403	42	30	22	18	2.2	1.6
	412	22	20	15	13	1.4	1.5
FEBRUARY							
	403	40	40	25	23	1.7	1.5
	412	26	24	19	16	1.6	1.6
MARCH							
	403	46	44	28	26	1.8	2
	412	30	26	19	14	2.0	1.3

Appendix G

Court Utilization: Adult Bail Courts

(Includes Domestic Violence, Adult and Federal Charges)

Page 1 of 2

Overview:

Since November 2005, all matters set for bail are first triaged by a Pre-trial Coordinator (PTC) and then transferred to a judge for hearing if necessary. This allows for efficient bail courts and provides time for uncomplicated custody dispositions to take place in the bail courts.

Courtroom 301 is the PTC Triage Court and is presided over by two PTCs with 301 DV (Domestic Violence) and 301 AC (Adult and Federal) Dockets.

Courtroom 304 is utilized for domestic violence matters and courtroom 305 is utilized for adult custody. Federal custody matters are mainly heard in courtroom 305, but may appear in courtroom 304 from time to time.

Month	Courtroom	½ days scheduled	½ days used	Average Hours per ½ day
April				
PTC	301 DV	19	19	1.9
PTC	301 AC	19	19	2.3
Judge	304	38	27	1.9
Judge	305	38	33	2.2
May				
PTC	301 DV	22	22	1.9
PTC	301 AC	22	22	2.1
Judge	304	44	33	2.0
Judge	305	44	38	2.0
June				
PTC	301 DV	22	22	1.9
PTC	301 AC	22	22	2.3
Judge	304	44	34	1.9
Judge	305	44	41	2.2
July				
PTC	301 DV	20	20	2.2
PTC	301 AC	20	20	2.5
Judge	304	40	34	2.0
Judge	305	40	35	2.3
August				
PTC	301 DV	22	22	2.1
PTC	301 AC	22	22	2.5
Judge	304	44	38	2.2
Judge	305	44	42	2.2
September				
PTC	301 DV	20	20	2.2
PTC	301 AC	20	20	2.4
Judge	304	40	33	2.2
Judge	305	40	38	2.0

Appendix G

Court Utilization: Adult Bail Courts

(Includes Domestic Violence, Adult and Federal Charges)

Page 2 of 2

Month	Courtroom	½ days scheduled	½ days used	Average Hours per ½ day
October				
PTC	301 DV	21	21	2.2
PTC	301 AC	21	21	2.1
Judge	304	42	35	2.0
Judge	305	42	35	2.1
November				
PTC	301 DV	21	21	2.0
PTC	301 AC	21	21	2.4
Judge	304	42	36	2.3
Judge	305	42	40	2.1
December				
PTC	301 DV	20	20	2.0
PTC	301 AC	20	20	2.2
Judge	304	40	36	2.2
Judge	305	40	37	2.2
January				
PTC	301 DV	22	22	2.2
PTC	301 AC	22	22	2.5
Judge	304	44	39	2.1
Judge	305	44	42	2.2
February				
PTC	301 DV	20	20	2.1
PTC	301 AC	20	20	2.4
Judge	304	40	37	2.2
Judge	305	40	38	2.3
March				
PTC	301 DV	22	22	1.9
PTC	301 AC	22	22	2.2
Judge	304	44	35	2.0
Judge	305	44	41	2.2

Appendix H

Court Utilization: Adult Custody Disposition Courts (Includes Domestic Violence, Adult and Federal Charges)

Overview:

Since November 2005, all domestic violence, adult and federal matters where the accused remains in custody appear before a PTC in courtroom 308 until a plea is ready to be entered. Any matters ready to proceed for disposition without the need for a trial are heard before a judge in courtroom 401.

Month	Courtroom	½ days scheduled	½ days used	Average Hours per ½ Day
April				
PTC	308	11	11	2.8
Judge	401	29	29	1.8
May				
PTC	308	13	13	2.9
Judge	401	35	33	2.3
June				
June				
PTC	308	14	14	2.7
Judge	401	36	36	1.8
July				
PTC	308	12	12	2.7
Judge	401	36	36	1.8
August				
PTC	308	14	14	2.8
Judge	401	41	40	1.6
September				
PTC	308	13	13	2.5
Judge	401	37	36	1.7
October				
PTC	308	13	13	2.7
Judge	401	38	36	1.7
November				
PTC	308	13	13	2.7
Judge	401	39	39	1.8
December				
PTC	308	12	12	2.8
Judge	401	34	33	1.8
January				
PTC	308	13	13	2.5
Judge	401	40	37	1.6
February				
PTC	308	12	12	2.5
Judge	401	36	36	2.0
March				
PTC	308	14	14	2.3
Judge	401	40	38	1.7

Appendix I

Court Utilization: Adult Out of Custody Plea and Disposition Courts

- Domestic Violence Only

Page 1 of 2

Overview:

As of March 2004, all domestic violence matters where the accused has been released from custody appear before a PTC in courtroom 308 until a plea is ready to be entered. Any matters ready to proceed for disposition without the need for a trial or require an appearance before a judge are heard in courtroom 303, 402 or 410.

Month	Courtroom	½ days scheduled	½ days used	Average Hours per ½ day
April				
PTC	308	12	12	2.0
Judge	303	3	3	1.8
Judge	402/410	12	12	2.0
May				
PTC	308	14	14	1.8
Judge	303	4	4	1.8
Judge	402/410	14	14	1.8
June				
PTC	308	13	13	1.9
Judge	303	4	4	1.8
Judge	402/410	13	13	1.9
July				
PTC	308	12	12	2.0
Judge	303	0	0	0
Judge	402/410	8	8	2.4
August				
PTC	308	15	15	1.8
Judge	303	0	0	0
Judge	402/410	10	10	1.7
September				
PTC	308	12	12	2.2
Judge	303	3	3	1.8
Judge	402/410	11	11	1.9
October				
PTC	308	13	13	1.9
Judge	303	4	4	1.1
Judge	402/410	14	14	1.9
November				
PTC	308	14	14	2.0
Judge	303	3	3	1.3
Judge	402/410	13	13	1.9
December				
PTC	308	11	11	1.9
Judge	303	3	3	1.6
Judge	402/410	9	9	2.4

Appendix I

Court Utilization: Adult Out of Custody Plea and Disposition Courts

- Domestic Violence Only

Page 2 of 2

Month	Courtroom	½ days scheduled	½ days used	Average Hours per ½ day
January				
PTC	308	14	14	1.7
Judge	303	4	4	1.3
Judge	402/410	14	14	1.5
February				
PTC	308	12	12	2.0
Judge	303	4	4	2.0
Judge	402/410	11	11	1.6
March				
PTC	308	13	13	2.2
Judge	303	4	4	1.6
Judge	402/410	13	13	1.7

Appendix J

Court Utilization: Domestic Violence and Adult Custody Administrative Court

Overview:

An Administrative Court was created that sits on the 2nd and 4th Monday of each month at 2:00 p.m. in courtroom 401. This court began on June 12, 2006. The Administrative Court hears all Adult and Domestic Violence Custody Matters that are not ready for disposition, such as timeline violations, counsel to withdraw without new counsel present, etc. that require the attention of a judge.

Month	Courtroom	½ days scheduled	½ days used	Average Hours per ½ day
June				
Judge	401	2	2	2.5
July				
Judge	401	2	2	3.0
August				
Judge	401	2	2	2.3
September				
Judge	401	2	2	2.0
October				
Judge	401	2	2	1.4
November				
Judge	401	2	2	2.4
December				
Judge	401	2	2	2.8
January				
Judge	401	2	2	2.1
February				
Judge	401	2	2	1.9
March				
Judge	401	2	2	2.0

Appendix K

Court Utilization - Brandon and Circuits

		Brandon	Boissevain *Killarney	Minnedosa	Rosburn	Nepawa	Russell	Virden	Waywaysee- cappo	Sioux Valley
		2006- 2007	2006- 2007	2006- 2007	2006- 2007	2006- 2007	2006- 2007	2006- 2007	2006- 2007	2006- 2007
April	Sittings Hours	40 77.5	3 5	5 10.75	1 1.25	1 2.25	2 3	3 6	1 3.25	1 3.50
May	Sittings Hours	51 115.25	2 5.5	3 7	1 1.5	2 3.5	1 2	3 9	1 2.75	1 2
June	Sittings Hours	52 105.	1 2.25	4 6	1 2	1 3	1 1.5	6 11.5	1 2	1 3
July	Sittings Hours	38 72.05	0 0	1 1.75	1 3.25	1 1	1 1.25	2 4	0 0	1 4
Aug	Sittings Hours	25 45.	1 2	4 7.75	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 2	1 1.25	0 0
Sept	Sittings Hours	25 36.25	2 5.25	4 12.50	1 1.75	1 1.75	1 3	3 9.5	1 1	1 4
Oct	Sittings Hours	49 103.5	1 3	3 8.25	1 1.25	1 2.75	1 2.25	3 7.75	1 2.25	1 4.5
Nov	Sittings Hours	35 59.58	1 2.5	0 0	1 3.75	1 3.25	2 2.75	3 9.5	1 1.25	2 5.5
Dec	Sittings Hours	38 72.8	0 0	3 8	1 1	0 0	0 0	3 9.75	1 3.75	2 5.25
Jan	Sittings Hours	45 86.5	2 3.75	6 15	1 2.25	2 4.75	1 4.25	5 12.75	1 1.25	1 4.25
Feb	Sittings Hours	40 55	1 4.75	3 4.75	0 0	1 2.25	1 1.5	4 9.25	1 2.5	2 8
Mar	Sittings Hours	57 116.25	2 9.25	3 7.25	2 3.75	2 6	1 3.25	4 12.75	1 1.25	1 6.5
Average Hours per sitting		1.91	2.7	2.28	1.98	2.35	2.06	2.59	2.05	3.61
Average # sittings per month		41.25	1.33	3.25	0.92	1.08	1.0	3.33	0.92	1.17

To Boissevain and return2.0 hours
 To Killarney and return.....2.6 hours
 To Minnedosa and return1.2 hours
 To Neepawa and return.....1.7 hours
 To Rosburn and return.....3.2 hours

To Russell and return4.4 hours
 To Sioux Valley and return.....1.0 hour
 To Virden and return1.9 hours
 To Waywaysee-cappo and return3.6 hours

** In 2006-2007 combined sittings with Killarney*

Appendix L

Court Utilization - Dauphin and Circuits

		Camperville	Dauphin	Roblin	Swan River	Sandy Bay
		2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007
April	Sittings Hours	1 1	21 36.5	2 3.25	5 8.25	5 14.25
May	Sittings Hours	2 2.5	26 45.75	3 6.25	6 15.25	3 6
June	Sittings Hours	3 3.5	27 47.75	2 4	4 10	5 11
July	Sittings Hours	1 1.25	33 36	2 3.75	4 9	3 6
Aug	Sittings Hours	1 3	13 28.75	2 2.75	4 9	2 3
Sept	Sittings Hours	0 0	17 31.5	1 2	4 7	2 2.75
Oct	Sittings Hours	2 4.5	33 63.5	2 5.75	4 8.75	3 7.25
Nov	Sittings Hours	1 2	22 66	1 2.5	13 34.5	2 4.5
Dec	Sittings Hours	1 1.5	14 29.5	1 1.25	2 4.25	3 7.25
Jan	Sittings Hours	1 2.25	31 51.5	2 3.5	4 8.25	4 11.5
Feb	Sittings Hours	2 4	23 39	2 6	8 16	4 7.75
Mar	Sittings Hours	2 5.25	22 43	0 0	5 7.5	1 .75
Average Hours per sitting		2.47	1.84	2.05	2.19	2.05
Average # sittings per month		1.42	23.5	1.67	5.25	3.08

To Roblin and return..... 2.4 hours
 To Swan River and return 4.3 hours

To Sandy Bay and return 3.5 hours
 To Camperville and return..... 2.5 hours

Appendix M

Court Utilization - Portage La Prairie and Circuits

		Portage La Prairie	Amaranth
		2004-2006	2006-2007
April	Sittings	22	1
	Hours	38.5	1.25
May	Sittings	30	1
	Hours	62.5	2
June	Sittings	30	1
	Hours	56.5	3.5
July	Sittings	19	1
	Hours	38.5	1
Aug	Sittings	33	2
	Hours	61.25	3.5
Sept	Sittings	17	4
	Hours	35.75	9
Oct	Sittings	17	1
	Hours	43.5	2.75
Nov	Sittings	24	1
	Hours	49.5	1.25
Dec	Sittings	21	1
	Hours	41.5	2
Jan	Sittings	19	1
	Hours	34.75	4
Feb	Sittings	23	1
	Hours	47.5	4
March	Sittings	27	1
	Hours	52.25	4
Average Hours per sitting		1.99	2.39
Average # sittings per month		23.5	1.33

To Amaranth and return..... 4.4 hours

Appendix N

Court Utilization - The Pas and Circuits

		The Pas	Cranberry Portage	Easterville	Flin Flon	Grand Rapids	Moose Lake	Pukatawagan	Snow Lake
		2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007
April	Sittings	24	1	1	2	1	1	2	0
	Hours	56.5	4	3	2.5	3.5	2	8	0
May	Sittings	38	1	1	8	1	1	2	1
	Hours	81.5	2	3.5	20.75	5	3.5	9.5	1.5
June	Sittings	35	1	1	2	1	1	2	0
	Hours	85.5	1	2	4.5	3.5	2.5	8	0
July	Sittings	29	1	3	2	1	2	1	0
	Hours	67.5	3.5	7.5	3.75	4.5	5.5	4	0
Aug	Sittings	23	1	1	4	1	1	2	0
	Hours	57	2.75	2	8.75	4.5	2	4	0
Sept	Sittings	24	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Hours	56	7	5	2.5	3	2.5	5.5	.5
Oct	Sittings	26	1	1	5	2	1	0	0
	Hours	59.5	2	3.5	6.25	9	3	0	0
Nov	Sittings	31	1	1	4	3	1	2	0
	Hours	67.5	3.75	5.5	7.75	9	2.5	10	0
Dec	Sittings	24	1	1	7	0	1	1	0
	Hours	57.5	2.5	4.5	18	0	3.5	7.5	0
Jan	Sittings	38	1	1	2	1	2	1	0
	Hours	95.5	2.75	4.5	3.75	4	6.5	8	0
Feb	Sittings	29	1	0	5	2	1	2	0
	Hours	67	3	0	13	9.5	2.5	10	0
Mar	Sittings	34	2	1	3	2	1	1	0
	Hours	87.5	5	4.5	5.75	6.5	5.5	5	0
Average Hours per sitting		2.36	2.80	3.5	2.16	3.88	2.96	4.68	1.0
Average # sittings per month		29.58	1.16	1.08	3.75	1.33	1.17	1.42	0.17

To Cranberry Portage and return2.0 hours
 To Easterville and return4.5 hours
 To Flin Flon and return2.3 hours
 To Grand Rapids and return.....5.5 hours

To Moose Lake and return3.0 hours
 To Pukatawagan and return.....2.5 hours
 To Snow Lake and return4.5 hours

Appendix O

Court Utilization - Thompson and Circuits

Page 1 of 2

		Thompson	Brochet	Churchill	Cross Lake	Gillam	God's Lake Narrows	God's River	Lac Brochet	Leaf Rapids
		2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007
April	Sittings	42	2	2	5	0	3	0	1	2
	Hours	91.5	1.25	2.25	6	0	1	0	2.5	3
May	Sittings	38	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0
	Hours	72	0	0	0	0	3.5	3.25	0	0
June	Sittings	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hours	79.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	Sittings	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hours	73.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aug	Sittings	49	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Hours	85	0	0	2.5	0	0	0	0	0
Sept	Sittings	41	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	0
	Hours	74.75	0	0	1.25	0	1.75	0	0	0
Oct	Sittings	46	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0
	Hours	94.5	0	0	0	3.25	2.25	0	0	0
Nov	Sittings	69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Hours	126.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.5
Dec	Sittings	35	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hours	66.5	1.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jan	Sittings	51	0	3	4	0	4	2	2	0
	Hours	92.5	0	3.75	2.5	0	5.25	2.25	1.75	0
Feb	Sittings	42	0	0	4	4	4	0	0	0
	Hours	74.25	0	0	3.75	4.5	4	0	0	0
Mar	Sittings	47	2	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
	Hours	83.25	3.5	0	.75	0	1	0	0	0
Average Hours per sitting		1.86	1.04	1.2	0.88	1.11	0.94	1.38	1.42	3.25
Average # sittings per month		45.33	0.50	0.42	1.58	0.58	1.67	0.33	0.25	0.25

To Brochet and return2.5 hours
 To Churchill and return.....2.5 hours
 To Cross Lake and return1.0 hour
 To Gillam and return1.8 hours

To God's Lake Narrows and return1.8 hours
 To God's River and return.....3.0 hours
 To Lac Brochet and return3.0 hours

Appendix O

Court Utilization - Thompson and Circuits

Page 2 of 2

		Lynn Lake	Nelson House	Norway House	Oxford House	Shamattawa	South Indian Lake	Split Lake
		2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007
April	Sittings Hours	0	2	6	1	0	0	4
	Hours	0	3.5	14	.5	0	0	4
May	Sittings Hours	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hours	2.75	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	Sittings Hours	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hours	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	Sittings Hours	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	Hours	0	0	3.25	0	0	0	0
Aug	Sittings Hours	0	0	2	2	2	0	0
	Hours	0	0	2.25	1.25	2.25	0	0
Sept	Sittings Hours	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Hours	0	0	2.75	0	0	0	0
Oct	Sittings Hours	0	0	7	6	4	2	2
	Hours	0	0	14.75	6.75	3.5	4.25	2
Nov	Sittings Hours	0	12	5	0	0	0	4
	Hours	0	18.75	11	0	0	0	2.75
Dec	Sittings Hours	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
	Hours	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Jan	Sittings Hours	6	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Hours	4.5	0	.5	0	0	0	0
Feb	Sittings Hours	1	2	5	0	0	2	0
	Hours	.25	1.25	3.75	0	0	8.5	0
Mar	Sittings Hours	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	Hours	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
Average Hours per sitting		0.83	1.18	1.68	0.94	0.96	3.19	0.84
Average # sittings per month		0.75	1.67	2.83	0.75	0.5	0.33	0.67

To Leaf Rapids and return1.0 hour
 To Lynn Lake and return1.5 hours
 To Nelson House and return2.0 hours
 To Norway House and return1.2 hours

To Oxford House and return1.1 hours
 To Shamattawa and return2.5 hours
 To South Indian Lake and return1.0 hour
 To Split Lake and return2.6 hours

Appendix P

Court Utilization - Winnipeg and Circuits

Page 1 of 3

		Altona	Arborg	Ashern	Beausejour	Berens River	Bloodvein	Carman	Emerson	Garden Hill
		2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007
April	Sittings	1	2	2	3	0	1	0	3	1
	Hours	2.25	4	8.5	5.5	0	2.5	0	5.25	1
May	Sittings	2	1	2	5	1	1	1	2	1
	Hours	4	2.5	4.5	13.5	2.5	3.5	2.25	6.5	1
June	Sittings	1	1	1	3	1	1	0	3	2
	Hours	2.5	1.25	4.25	6.75	3	5	0	8.5	12
July	Sittings	1	1	2	3	0	1	1	3	3
	Hours	.5	.75	3.75	7	0	3.5	2.5	9	8
Aug	Sittings	1	1	2	4	1	1	0	4	3
	Hours	3.5	.75	2	9.5	3	6	0	9.75	12.5
Sept	Sittings	1	1	1	4	0	1	1	3	0
	Hours	2.75	1.5	1.25	8	0	3	2.25	8.25	0
Oct	Sittings	1	1	1	4	4	1	0	3	4
	Hours	2.25	2	2.5	12.75	14.5	2.5	0	8.5	15.5
Nov	Sittings	1	1	1	3	0	1	1	4	1
	Hours	2.25	2.5	3	8.5	0	2	5.5	12.25	2.5
Dec	Sittings	1	1	4	3	0	1	0	2	0
	Hours	1.75	3	8.25	11	0	2.5	0	5	0
Jan	Sittings	1	1	4	4	2	0	1	3	2
	Hours	.25	1.5	10	6.25	2.25	0	1	8	4.5
Feb	Sittings	0	1	4	5	1	1	0	3	3
	Hours	0	1.75	7.75	9.5	4	4.5	0	7	10.5
Mar	Sittings	1	0	2	4	1	1	1	3	3
	Hours	2	0	5	15.25	4	3	3.25	5.5	13
Average Hours per sitting		2.0	1.79	2.34	2.52	3.02	3.45	2.79	2.60	3.5
Average # sittings per month		1.0	1.0	2.17	3.75	0.92	0.92	0.5	3.0	1.92

To Altona and return2.8 hours
 To Arborg and return3.0 hours
 To Ashern and return4.6 hours
 To Beausejour and return1.5 hours

To Berens River and return2.0 hours
 To Bloodvein and return1.5 hours
 To Carman and return2.0 hours
 To Emerson and return2.6 hours

Appendix P

Court Utilization - Winnipeg and Circuits

Page 2 of 3

		*Fisher Branch	Gimli	Lac du Bonnet	Little Grand Rapids	Lundar	Morden	Morris	Pauingassi	Pine Falls
		2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007
April	Sittings Hours	3 7.5	2 1.25	1 5.5	1 4	1 4.5	7 14.75	0 0	1 5	6 12.75
May	Sittings Hours	3 8	4 6.5	2 5.5	1 6	1 1.25	8 12.66	1 1.5	0 0	5 14.5
June	Sittings Hours	3 6.5	1 1	1 2	1 3	2 4	5 10.25	1 1	1 2	5 20
July	Sittings Hours	4 11	2 4.25	1 4	0 0	2 6.5	7 16	1 2	0 0	6 17
Aug	Sittings Hours	3 7.25	2 3.75	1 2.5	2 10.5	1 2.75	6 7.75	1 .75	2 5.5	6 24.5
Sept	Sittings Hours	3 7.75	2 5.5	2 4	0 0	4 7.75	8 15.5	1 1	1 4	5 21.75
Oct	Sittings Hours	3 7.75	2 4	1 2.5	0 0	3 7.75	6 14.5	2 3.25	0 0	6 15.75
Nov	Sittings Hours	3 9	1 3	2 6.75	1 5	0 0	4 12.5	1 1	2 5	5 20.5
Dec	Sittings Hours	3 8.25	0 0	1 4	0 0	2 4	3 8	1 2.25	0 0	2 6.5
Jan	Sittings Hours	3 6.5	2 4.5	1 4	2 11.25	1 1	7 14.1	1 2	1 2.25	5 16
Feb	Sittings Hours	4 5.25	2 5	1 2	1 4	1 3	7 9.8	1 1	0 0	6 12.5
Mar	Sittings Hours	3 7.5	2 6	1 2	1 4	2 6	Incomplete	1 4.5	2 6	7 24.5
Average Hours per sitting		2.43	2.03	2.43	4.78	2.43	2.0	1.68	2.98	3.22
Average # sittings per month		3.17	1.83	3.17	0.83	1.67	6.18	1.0	0.83	5.33

To Fisher Branch and return4.0 hours
 To Garden Hill and return3.4 hours
 To Gimli and return2.4 hours
 To Lac du Bonnet and return2.7 hours

To Little Grand Rapids and return.....2.5 hours
 To Lundar and return3.0 hours
 To Morden and return3.1 hours
 To Morris and return1.7 hours

*These statistics include the Pre-Trial Coordinator sittings.

Appendix P

Court Utilization - Winnipeg and Circuits

Page 3 of 3

		Poplar River	Powerview	Selkirk	Steinbach	St. Martin	St. Theresa Point	Stonewall	Teulon	St. Pierre-Jolys
		2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007	2006-2007
April	Sittings	1	5	9	6	2	0	2	1	2
	Hours	2.5	11	24.5	11.25	3.75	0	8.75	4.5	5.5
May	Sittings	0	4	8	6	1	0	2	1	2
	Hours	0	10.5	20.25	12	5	0	4.75	2.5	4.5
June	Sittings	0	4	11	13	2	1	3	1	4
	Hours	0	16	33	26.75	11.5	4	7.5	1.5	7.5
July	Sittings	1	4	8	7	3	0	2	1	1
	Hours	3.5	11.5	20.5	10.05	8	0	6	2.5	4.25
Aug	Sittings	0	5	11	7	2	0	1	1	4
	Hours	0	21.5	29.75	12.5	3	0	4.5	.75	8.5
Sept	Sittings	0	4	9	8	1	3	2	1	2
	Hours	0	17.75	24.25	16.5	5.5	14.5	5.25	1.75	5.5
Oct	Sittings	0	6	13	7	1	0	5	1	4
	Hours	0	15.75	27.25	12.05	2.5	0	10	3.5	6.75
Nov	Sittings	0	5	8	8	2	0	2	1	0
	Hours	0	20.5	22.25	15.75	7	0	7.25	2.5	0
Dec	Sittings	0	3	9	5	0	0	1	1	1
	Hours	0	8.75	25.25	9.75	0	0	3	2.5	3.25
Jan	Sittings	1	5	14	6	2	2	2	1	1
	Hours	3.5	16	35.75	13.75	6	6	6	2.5	3.5
Feb	Sittings	0	6	12	7	2	0	2	1	6
	Hours	0	12.5	39.25	15.5	7.75	0	10	2.5	13.5
Mar	Sittings	0	8	11	11	2	1	2	1	2
	Hours	0	24.5	28.75	25.75	8.5	6	4.25	2.5	4.5
Average Hours per sitting		3.17	3.16	2.69	2.0	3.43	4.36	2.97	2.46	2.3
Average # sittings per month		0.25	4.92	10.25	7.58	1.67	0.58	2.17	1.0	2.42

To Paungassi and return.....4.0 hours
 To Poplar River and return.....2.5 hours
 To Powerview and return3.3 hours
 To Selkirk and return.....1.0 hour
 To Steinbach and return.....1.6 hours

To St. Martin and return6.5 hours
 To St. Pierre-Jolys and return1.5 hours
 To St. Therese Point and return3.5 hours
 To Teulon and return1.5hours
 To Stonewall and return 0.9 hours